

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.RAMANA

W. P.No.10943 of 2007

Dated:12.06.2007

Between:

Y.V.Ramana Reddy and others. .

.....PETITIONERS

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Secretary to
Government, Higher Education, Secretariat Buildings, Secretariat,
Hyderabad and others.

....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

-
W.P. No. 10943 of 2007

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a Mandamus to declare the Memo, dated 02.05.2007, issued by the 2nd respondent, as illegal and arbitrary, and consequently direct the respondents to implement the transfer policy by giving equal treatment.

2. The petitioners are working as Junior Lecturers in aided vacancy posts in their respective Colleges. While so, the Government issued certain guidelines shifting the staff to the needy Government Junior Colleges on redeployment after identifying the same as surplus, and so, the posts were surrendered to the respective principals where there is vacancy and the respective principals are guided to draw the salaries from the grant-in-aid. The grievance of the petitioners is that though they are regular staff/aided on par with the Government staff, their cases are completely ignored by giving preference to the regular Government staff, and by virtue of the memo, dated 02.05.2007, issued by the

2nd respondent fixing schedule of transfer of redeployed staff, the petitioners, who are working since 2005-2006 are sought to be shifted. Hence, the present writ petition.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Pleader for Higher Education.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners contended that

though the petitioners are regular staff/aided, their cases are completely ignored by giving preference to the regular Government staff, so the entire transfer policy is highly one sided and discriminatory. He further submits that without taking into consideration the redeployment of the petitioners, counseling is taking place by showing their vacancies in the counseling.

5. When the matter came up for hearing, the learned Government Pleader for Higher Education, on instructions, submitted that pending consideration of the issues raised in the writ petition the respondent authorities postponed the counseling.

6. Since the counseling has been postponed, no orders need be passed in the present writ petition. However, after counseling, if any adverse order is passed by the respondents, the petitioners are at liberty to question the same.

7. With the above observation, the Writ Petition is dismissed.
No costs.

N.V.RAMANA, J

Dated: 12.06.2007

sj/ksr